

# **SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY**

---

*County Service Area 82 (Trona)  
Sewer Rate Study Report*

*Final Report*

**March 2026**



[nbsgov.com](http://nbsgov.com)

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

<b>1. Introduction .....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Purpose.....	1
1.2 Overview of the Study.....	1
<b>2. Sewer Rate Study .....</b>	<b>4</b>
2.1 Key Sewer Rate Study Issues.....	4
2.2 Financial Plan.....	4
2.3 Cost-of-Service Analysis .....	5
2.4 Rate Design Analysis.....	6
2.5 Proposed Sewer Rates.....	6
2.6 Comparison of Current and Proposed Sewer Bills .....	7
<b>3. Recommendations and Next Steps.....</b>	<b>8</b>
3.1 Consultant Recommendations.....	8
3.2 Next steps.....	8
3.3 NBS' Principal Assumptions and Considerations .....	8
<b>Appendix A. Sewer Rate Study Tables and Figures.....</b>	<b>9</b>

# TABLE OF FIGURES

---

Figure 1. Primary Components of a Rate Study .....	2
Figure 2. Summary of Sewer Revenue Requirements.....	5
Figure 3. Summary of Sewer Reserve Funds .....	5
Figure 4. Calculation of Fixed Charges.....	6
Figure 5. Current vs. Proposed Sewer Rates .....	6
Figure 6. Sewer Bill Comparison.....	7

# 1. Introduction

---

## 1.1 Purpose

San Bernardino County (County) retained NBS to conduct a comprehensive utility rate study for its sewer enterprise funds for County Service Area 82 Trona (CSA 82). The County had several objectives and goals in mind for this study including meeting revenue requirements, reviewing the rising costs of providing services, funding capital improvements and changes in costs, and complying with certain legal requirements (e.g., California Constitution Article XIII D, Section 6, which is commonly referred to as Proposition 218 [Prop 218]). The County's broader objectives in this study include ensuring adequate funding for operating and capital costs, maintaining reasonable reserves, ensuring revenue stability in utility rates. The rates resulting from this study were developed in a manner that is consistent with industry standard cost-of-service principles. In addition to documenting the rate study methodology, this report is provided with the intent to assist the County in its continuing effort to maintain transparent communications with the residents and community it serves.

In developing new rates for CSA 82's enterprise fund, NBS worked cooperatively with County staff and the Board of Supervisors (Board) in selecting the appropriate rate alternatives that address the County's goals and objectives. Based on input provided by County staff, NBS proposes the rates summarized in this report. The Board has the final decision regarding the adoption of the proposed rates and whether to proceed with the Prop 218 approval process.

## 1.2 Overview of the Study

Comprehensive rate studies, such as this one, typically include three components: (1) preparation of a financial plan that identifies the net revenue requirements for the utility; (2) analysis of the cost to serve each customer class, and (3) the rate structure design. These steps are shown in Figure 1 and are intended to follow industry standards and reflect the fundamental principles of cost-of-service rate making embodied in the Water Environment Federation's *Financing and Charges for Wastewater Systems* (Manual of Practice No. 27).<sup>1</sup>

Rate studies also address requirements under Prop 218 that rates not exceed the cost of providing the service and be proportionate to the cost of providing service for all customers. In terms of the chronology of the study, the three steps shown in Figure 1 represent the order in which they were performed in this study.

---

<sup>1</sup> *Financing and Charges for Wastewater Systems*, Manual of Practice No. 27, Water Environment Federation, Fourth Edition, 2018.

**Figure 1. Primary Components of a Rate Study**



NBS projected revenues and expenditures, developed net revenue requirements, performed cost-of-service rate analyses, and developed new sewer rates for the County using this approach. The following sections in this report present an overview of the methodologies, assumptions, and data used along with the financial plans and rates developed. Detailed tables and figures documenting the development of the proposed rates are provided in the Appendices.

The County provided NBS with the data necessary to conduct the study, including historical, current, and projected revenues and expenditures, number of customer accounts, and water consumption data along with other operational and capital cost information.

### **FINANCIAL PLAN**

As a part of the rate study, NBS projected revenues and expenditures on a cash-flow basis for the next five (5) years. The amount of rate revenue required, that will allow reserves to be maintained at the recommended levels, is known as the net revenue requirement. As current rate revenue falls short of the net revenue requirement, rate adjustments – or more accurately, adjustments in the total revenue collected from rates – are recommended. This report presents an overview of the methodologies, assumptions, and data used along with the financial plan and proposed rates developed in this study.<sup>2</sup>

### **COST-OF-SERVICE ANALYSIS**

The basic purpose of the cost-of-service analysis (COSA) is to fairly and equitably allocate costs to customer classes. The cost-of-service analysis consists of two major components: (1) the classification of expenses, and (2) the allocation of costs to customer classes. In the case of CSA 82, volume data is not available by customer and there is a single customer class. Due to the County's desire to maintain a consistency, NBS has developed a fixed rate structure. Further details are discussed below and documented in the *Appendix*.

### **RATE DESIGN ANALYSIS**

During the rate design phase of the study, NBS and County staff worked together to develop rate alternatives that will meet the County's objectives. It is important for the County to send proper price

<sup>2</sup> The complete financial plans are available in the *Appendices*.

signals to its customers about the actual cost of providing service. This objective is typically addressed through both the magnitude of the rate adjustments and the rate structure design. In other words, both the amount of revenue collected and the way in which the revenue is collected from customers are important.

Several criteria are typically considered in setting rates and developing sound rate structures. The fundamentals of this process have been well documented in several rate-setting manuals, such as AWWA's Manual M1. The foundation for evaluating rate structures is generally credited to James C. Bonbright in *Principles of Public Utility Rates*,<sup>3</sup> which outlines pricing policies, theories, and economic concepts along with various rate designs. The following is a simplified list of the attributes of a sound rate structure:

- Rates should be easy to understand from the customer's perspective.
- Rates should be easy to administer from the utility's perspective.
- Rates should be equitable and non-discriminating (i.e., cost-based).
- Rates should promote the efficient allocation of the resource.
- There should be continuity in the rate making philosophy over time.
- Rates should provide month-to-month and year-to-year revenue stability.

## KEY FINANCIAL ASSUMPTIONS

The following is a summary of the key financial assumptions used in the analyses. The following capital and operational fund targets reflect input from County staff to meet specific utility objectives.

**Funding of Capital Projects** – The capital improvement costs will be funded with a combination of cash in reserves and the additional revenue generated from the proposed rate increases. The sewer line replacement project phase 2 is anticipated to be financed via debt issuance, however high rate revenue delinquencies are likely to impact the County's ability to secure debt for the project. The capital projects listed in the financial plan are from the County's capital improvement program.

**Reserve Targets** – For the sewer utility, the County maintains reserves for operations, capital, and other specific needs. The details of each utility's reserve targets are covered in their respective sections of this report.

**Inflation and Growth Projections** – Assumptions were made in the analysis regarding cost inflation to project future revenues and expenses for the study period. The following inflation factors were used in the analysis:

- Customer growth is estimated at 0.00% per year.
- General cost inflation is set at 3.20% annually.
- Labor cost inflation is set at 0.00% annually.

These inflation factors are based on long-term trends; therefore, the County should re-examine these factors in another year to assess the impacts on utility costs and whether projected rate increases will be sufficient for the remainder of the rate adoption period.

---

<sup>3</sup> James C. Bonbright, Albert L. Danielsen, and David R. Kamerschen, *Principles of Public Utility Rates*, Arlington, VA: Public Utilities Report, Inc., Second Edition, 1988, pp. 383-384.

## 2. Sewer Rate Study

---

### 2.1 Key Sewer Rate Study Issues

The County's sewer rate analysis was undertaken with a few specific objectives, including:

- Ensuring equity among customer classes by collecting rate revenue through the cost-of-service process by Equivalent Dwelling Unit.
- Maintain adequate reserve levels to ensure continuity in operations.
- Comply with Prop 218 requirements to ensure costs are properly allocated between user classifications.

### 2.2 Financial Plan

It is important for the sewer utility to ensure rates provide sufficient funding to cover operating and maintenance costs, planned capital expenditures, and maintain reasonable reserves. The sewer utility's rate increases are governed by these needs, and the current state of the County's sewer utility is as follows:

**Meeting Net Revenue Requirements:** For FY 2026/27 through FY 2030/31, the projected net revenue requirements (that is, total operating expenses plus rate-funded capital costs less non-rate revenues) for the County averages approximately \$754 thousand to \$832 thousand annually. If no rate increases are implemented, the County is projected to run an annual deficit of approximately \$25 thousand beginning in FY 2026/27 but increasing to \$187 thousand by FY 2030/31, and the utility would struggle to meet its operating and capital requirements. NBS notes that rate revenue is current significantly less than current rates should generate due to high delinquencies in collection of rate revenue. These delinquencies impact the utilities ability to fund and secure anticipated debt for capital projects.

**Maintaining Reserve Funds:** Reserve funds provide a basis for a utility to cope with fiscal emergencies such as revenue shortfalls, asset failure, and natural disasters, among other events. Reserve policies provide guidelines for sound financial management, with an overall long-range perspective to maintain financial solvency and mitigate financial risks associated with revenue instability, volatile capital costs, and emergencies. The reserve funds for the sewer utility are considered unrestricted reserves and consist of the following:

- **Operating Reserve:** The target ending fund balance for the operating reserve is equal to 90 days of operating expenses, or approximately \$83 thousand in FY 2026/27. An operating reserve is intended to promote financial viability in the event of any short-term fluctuation in revenues and/or expenditures. Fluctuations in revenue can be caused by weather patterns, the natural inflow and outflow of cash during billing cycles, and – particularly in periods of economic distress – changes or trends in age of receivables.
- **Capital Rehabilitation & Replacement Reserve** equal to 3% of net asset value, or approximately \$700 thousand. This reserve is set aside to address long-term capital system replacement and rehabilitation needs.

**Maintaining Adequate Bond Coverage:** Should the County issue debt to finance capital needs, there would be a requirement to maintain a minimum debt service coverage ratio as specified in the bond documents. Rates need to be set to generate sufficient revenue to provide the required level of coverage on debt

services as well as fund operating needs. While rates are set to provide adequate anticipated coverage on debt, the rate revenue delinquencies may impact the County’s ability to secure debt for capital projects.

**Figure 2** summarizes the sources and uses of funds, net revenue requirements, and the recommended annual increases in sewer rate revenue proposed for the next five years. **Figure 3** summarizes the projected reserve fund balances and reserve targets for the sewer utility’s unrestricted funds.

**Figure 2. Summary of Sewer Revenue Requirements**

Summary of Sources and Uses of Funds and Net Revenue Requirements	Budget		5-Year Projected Rate Period			
	FY 2025/26	FY 2026/27	FY 2027/28	FY 2028/29	FY 2029/30	FY 2030/31
<b>Sources of Sewer Funds</b>						
Rate Revenue Under Current Rates	\$ 490,000	\$ 490,000	\$ 490,000	\$ 490,000	\$ 490,000	\$ 490,000
Non-Rate Revenues	88,990	79,790	79,790	79,790	79,790	79,790
<b>Total: Sources of Funds</b>	<b>\$ 578,990</b>	<b>\$ 569,790</b>	<b>\$ 569,790</b>	<b>\$ 569,790</b>	<b>\$ 569,790</b>	<b>\$ 569,790</b>
<b>Uses of Sewer Funds</b>						
Operating Expenses	\$ 321,337	\$ 330,356	\$ 339,663	\$ 349,269	\$ 359,181	\$ 369,411
Debt Service	-	264,289	264,289	264,289	264,289	264,289
Rate-Funded Capital Expenses	155,250	-	-	108,524	-	-
<b>Total: Use of Funds</b>	<b>\$ 476,587</b>	<b>\$ 594,645</b>	<b>\$ 603,952</b>	<b>\$ 722,082</b>	<b>\$ 623,470</b>	<b>\$ 633,700</b>
<b>Surplus (Deficiency) before Rate Increase</b>	<b>\$ 102,403</b>	<b>\$ (24,855)</b>	<b>\$ (34,162)</b>	<b>\$ (152,292)</b>	<b>\$ (53,680)</b>	<b>\$ (63,910)</b>
Additional Revenue from Rate Increases <sup>1</sup>	-	264,306	282,937	302,029	321,592	341,638
<b>Surplus (Deficiency) after Rate Increase</b>	<b>\$ 102,403</b>	<b>\$ 239,451</b>	<b>\$ 248,775</b>	<b>\$ 149,737</b>	<b>\$ 267,912</b>	<b>\$ 277,728</b>
<b>Projected Increases in Rate Revenue</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>53.94%</b>	<b>2.47%</b>	<b>2.47%</b>	<b>2.47%</b>	<b>2.47%</b>
<b>Total Rate Revenue Requirement<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>\$ 490,000</b>	<b>\$ 754,306</b>	<b>\$ 772,937</b>	<b>\$ 792,029</b>	<b>\$ 811,592</b>	<b>\$ 831,638</b>

1. Assumes new rates are implemented July 1, 2026.

2. Total use of funds less non-rate revenues and interest earnings.

**Figure 3. Summary of Sewer Reserve Funds**

Beginning Reserve Fund Balances and Recommended Reserve Targets	Budget		5-Year Projected Rate Period			
	FY 2025/26	FY 2026/27	FY 2027/28	FY 2028/29	FY 2029/30	FY 2030/31
<b>Unrestricted Reserves</b>						
<b>Operating Reserve</b>						
Ending Balance	\$ 286,643	\$ 83,000	\$ 85,000	\$ 87,000	\$ 90,000	\$ 92,000
<i>Recommended Minimum Target</i>	<i>80,000</i>	<i>83,000</i>	<i>85,000</i>	<i>87,000</i>	<i>90,000</i>	<i>92,000</i>
<b>Capital Rehabilitation &amp; Replacement Reserve</b>						
Ending Balance	\$ 1,853,268	\$ 2,157,063	\$ 2,293,796	\$ 2,436,155	\$ 2,583,168	\$ 2,736,871
<i>Recommended Minimum Target</i>	<i>584,000</i>	<i>690,000</i>	<i>693,000</i>	<i>696,000</i>	<i>700,000</i>	<i>703,000</i>
<b>Total Ending Balance</b>	<b>\$ 2,139,911</b>	<b>\$ 2,240,063</b>	<b>\$ 2,378,796</b>	<b>\$ 2,523,155</b>	<b>\$ 2,673,168</b>	<b>\$ 2,828,871</b>
<i>Total Recommended Minimum Target</i>	<i>\$ 664,000</i>	<i>\$ 773,000</i>	<i>\$ 778,000</i>	<i>\$ 783,000</i>	<i>\$ 790,000</i>	<i>\$ 795,000</i>

A more detailed version of the utility’s proposed five-year financial plan is included in the Appendix. The appendix tables include revenue requirements, reserve funds, revenue sources, proposed rate increases, and the County’s capital improvement program.

### 2.3 Cost-of-Service Analysis

Once the net revenue requirements are determined, the cost-of-service analysis (COSA) proportionately distributes the revenue requirements to the single customer class. The COSA consists of the classification of expenses and then the allocation of those expenses to customer classes based on allocation factors, such as number of equivalent dwelling units (EDUs). Ultimately, a COSA is intended to result in rates that are proportional to the cost of providing service to each customer class.

## DISTRIBUTION OF COSTS OF SERVICE TO CUSTOMER CLASSES

We arrive at the customer class responsibility for service by applying the unit costs of service to the number of units, in this case Equivalent Dwelling Units, for which the customer class is responsible. In other words, the total cost of service revenue requirement is divided by the number of Equivalent Dwelling Units.

### 2.4 Rate Design Analysis

The cost of service analysis described in previous sections of this report provide a basis for the design of the sewer rates. Ultimately, the rate alternative selected by County staff is one similar to the existing rate design. The reasons for selecting this alternative are (1) it maintains the existing rate design developed during the last study (2) it provides continuity for sewer customers, and (3) it is easy to understand from a customer’s perspective and easy to administrate from County staff’s perspective.

### FIXED CHARGES

The fixed charge recognizes that the sewer utility incurs fixed costs regardless of whether customers send any sewer into the County’s collection system. The factor used to develop the fixed change is the number of Equivalent Dwelling Units associated with each account. The monthly fixed charge is calculated by taking 100% of total revenue requirements and dividing by the number of Equivalent Dwelling Units.

The charge calculations are summarized in **Figure 4**.

**Figure 4. Calculation of Fixed Charges**

Customer Class	Number of EDUs	Net Revenue Requirement	Estimated Fixed Revenue	Monthly Fixed Charge Per EDU
All Customers	738	\$ 754,306	\$ 754,306	\$ 85.17
<b>Total</b>	<b>738</b>	<b>\$ 754,306</b>	<b>\$ 754,306</b>	

### 2.5 Proposed Sewer Rates

The proposed sewer rates are similar to existing rates in terms of the rate design and rate methodology.

**Figure 5. Current vs. Proposed Sewer Rates**

Sewer Rate Schedule	Current Rates	Proposed Sewer Rates				
		FY 2026/27	FY 2027/28	FY 2028/29	FY 2029/30	FY 2030/31
<b>Monthly Fixed Service Charge Per EDU</b>						
All Customers		Per Equivalent Dwelling Unit				
All Customers	\$83.12	\$85.17	\$87.28	\$89.43	\$91.64	\$93.91

compares the current and proposed rates for FY 2026/27 through FY 2030/31 by customer class. More detailed tables on the development of the proposed rates are documented in Appendix A.


**Figure 5. Current vs. Proposed Sewer Rates**

Sewer Rate Schedule	Current Rates	Proposed Sewer Rates				
		FY 2026/27	FY 2027/28	FY 2028/29	FY 2029/30	FY 2030/31
<b>Monthly Fixed Service Charge Per EDU</b>						
All Customers		Per Equivalent Dwelling Unit				
All Customers	\$83.12	\$85.17	\$87.28	\$89.43	\$91.64	\$93.91

## 2.6 Comparison of Current and Proposed Sewer Bills

The following figures compare monthly sewer bills under current and proposed rates for a customer with one Equivalent Dwelling Unit over the 5-year rate period.

Figure 6. Sewer Bill Comparison



## 3. Recommendations and Next Steps

---

### 3.1 Consultant Recommendations

NBS recommends the County take the following actions:

- **Approve and Accept this Study:** NBS recommends the County Board formally approve and adopt this Study and its recommendations and proceed with the next steps outlined below to implement the proposed rates. This will provide documentation of the rate study analyses and the basis for analyzing potential changes to future rates.
- **Implement Recommended Levels of Rate Increases and Proposed Rates:** Based on successfully meeting the Prop 218 procedural requirements, the County should proceed with implementing the 5-year schedule of proposed rates and rate increases previously shown in **Figure 5**. This will help ensure the continued financial health of CSA 82's utilities.

### 3.2 Next steps

**Annually Review Rates and Revenue** – Any time an agency adopts new utility rates or rate structures, those new rates should be closely monitored over the next several years to ensure the revenue generated is sufficient to meet the annual revenue requirements. Changing economic and water consumption patterns underscore the need for this review, as well as potential and unseen changing revenue requirements — particularly those related to environmental regulations that can significantly affect capital improvements and repair and replacement costs.

*Note: The attached Appendix provides more detailed information on the analysis of the financial plan, revenue requirements, cost-of-service, and the rate design analyses that have been summarized in this report.*

### 3.3 NBS' Principal Assumptions and Considerations

In preparing this report and the opinions and recommendations included herein, NBS has relied on several principal assumptions and considerations regarding financial matters, conditions, and events that may occur in the future. This information and these assumptions, including the County's budgets, capital improvement costs, customer accounts and consumption, and information from County staff were provided by sources we believe to be reliable, although NBS has not independently verified this data.

While we believe NBS' use of such information and assumptions is reasonable for the purpose of this report and its recommendations, some assumptions will invariably not materialize as stated herein and may vary significantly due to unanticipated events and circumstances. Therefore, the actual results can be expected to vary from those projected to the extent that actual future conditions differ from those assumed by us or provided to us by others.

# Appendix A. Sewer Rate Study Tables and Figures

---

CSA 82 Trona  
SEWER RATE STUDY  
Financial Plan and Reserve Projections

TABLE 1 : FINANCIAL PLAN AND SUMMARY OF REVENUE REQUIREMENTS

RATE REVENUE REQUIREMENTS SUMMARY	Projected	Rate Period				
	FY 2025/26	FY 2026/27	FY 2027/28	FY 2028/29	FY 2029/30	FY 2030/31
<b>Sources of Sewer Funds</b>						
<b>Rate Revenue</b>						
000-Taxes	\$ 55,215	\$ 55,215	\$ 55,215	\$ 55,215	\$ 55,215	\$ 55,215
075-Charges for Current Services-Fee Ord	\$ 490,000	\$ 490,000	\$ 490,000	\$ 490,000	\$ 490,000	\$ 490,000
<b>Other Revenue</b>						
040-Intergovernment Revenue-State	\$ 275	\$ 275	\$ 275	\$ 275	\$ 275	\$ 275
030-Revenue From Use of Money & Property	\$ 21,000	\$ 21,000	\$ 21,000	\$ 21,000	\$ 21,000	\$ 21,000
080-Other Revenue	\$ 12,500	\$ 3,300	\$ 3,300	\$ 3,300	\$ 3,300	\$ 3,300
<b>Total: Sources of Funds</b>	<b>\$ 578,990</b>	<b>\$ 569,790</b>	<b>\$ 569,790</b>	<b>\$ 569,790</b>	<b>\$ 569,790</b>	<b>\$ 569,790</b>
<b>Uses of Sewer Funds</b>						
<b>Operating Expenses:</b>						
200-Services & Supplies-General	\$ 184,440	\$ 190,262	\$ 196,270	\$ 202,471	\$ 208,870	\$ 215,474
294-Services & Supplies-Travel Related	\$ 4,128	\$ 4,260	\$ 4,396	\$ 4,537	\$ 4,682	\$ 4,832
540-Intra Entity Reimbursement Out	\$ 132,770	\$ 135,834	\$ 138,997	\$ 142,261	\$ 145,629	\$ 149,105
Subtotal: Operating Expenses	\$ 321,337	\$ 330,356	\$ 339,663	\$ 349,269	\$ 359,181	\$ 369,411
<b>Other Expenditures:</b>						
Existing Debt Service	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Future Debt Service	-	264,289	264,289	264,289	264,289	264,289
Rate-Funded Capital Expenses	155,250	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal: Other Expenditures	\$ 155,250	\$ 264,289	\$ 264,289	\$ 264,289	\$ 264,289	\$ 264,289
<b>Total: Uses of Funds</b>	<b>\$ 476,587</b>	<b>\$ 594,645</b>	<b>\$ 603,952</b>	<b>\$ 613,558</b>	<b>\$ 623,470</b>	<b>\$ 633,700</b>
Plus: Revenue from Rate Increases <sup>3</sup>	-	264,306	282,937	302,029	321,592	341,638
<b>Annual Surplus/(Deficit)</b>	<b>\$ 102,403</b>	<b>\$ 239,451</b>	<b>\$ 248,775</b>	<b>\$ 258,261</b>	<b>\$ 267,912</b>	<b>\$ 277,728</b>
<b>Net Revenue Req. (Total Uses less Non-Rate Revenue)</b>	<b>\$ 442,812</b>	<b>\$ 570,070</b>	<b>\$ 579,377</b>	<b>\$ 588,983</b>	<b>\$ 598,895</b>	<b>\$ 609,125</b>
<b>Total Rate Revenue After Rate Increases</b>	<b>\$ 490,000</b>	<b>\$ 754,306</b>	<b>\$ 772,937</b>	<b>\$ 792,029</b>	<b>\$ 811,592</b>	<b>\$ 831,638</b>
<b>Projected Annual Rate Revenue Increase</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>53.94%</b>	<b>2.47%</b>	<b>2.47%</b>	<b>2.47%</b>	<b>2.47%</b>
<b>Cumulative Increase from Annual Revenue Increases</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>53.94%</b>	<b>57.74%</b>	<b>61.64%</b>	<b>65.63%</b>	<b>69.72%</b>
<b>Debt Coverage After Rate Increase<sup>4</sup></b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>1.91</b>	<b>1.94</b>	<b>1.98</b>	<b>2.01</b>	<b>2.05</b>

- Revenue and expenses for FY 2024/25 provided by the District. Revenues and expenses for all other years are escalated based on the forecasting assumptions in Table 8.
- Interest earnings for FY 2024/25 are from the District's Budget. For all other years, it is calculated based on historical LAIF returns.
- Revenue from rate increases assumes an implementation date of January 1, 2026 for new rates. For each year thereafter, the assumption is that new rates will be implemented on July 1st of each year.
- The District must maintain a debt service coverage of 125% under the Installment Purchase Contract with Municipal Finance Corporation. Conditional formatting has been applied to highlight years where the debt coverage ratio is not met.

Source file: *Item 7 Installment Purchase Sewer Project.pdf*.

1	<-- Select Financial Plan Scenario Here	FY 2025/26	FY 2026/27	FY 2027/28	FY 2028/29	FY 2029/30	FY 2030/31
<b>Financial Plan Alternatives</b>							
1	Alternative 1 - Custom Rate Increase	0.00%	53.94%	2.47%	2.47%	2.47%	2.47%
2	Alternative 2 - Custom Rate Increase	0.00%	5.00%	5.00%	5.00%	5.00%	5.00%
3	Alternative 3 - Custom Rate Increases	0.00%	53.98%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%
4	Alternative 4 - No Rate Increases	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%

CSA 82 Trona  
SEWER RATE STUDY  
Financial Plan and Reserve Projections

TABLE 2 : RESERVE FUND SUMMARY

SUMMARY OF CASH ACTIVITY SEWER FUND RESERVES	Projected	Rate Period				
	FY 2025/26	FY 2026/27	FY 2027/28	FY 2028/29	FY 2029/30	FY 2030/31
<b>Total Beginning Cash<sup>1</sup></b>						
<b>Unrestricted Reserves:</b>						
<b>Operating Reserve</b>						
Beginning Reserve Balance	\$ 1,420,039	\$ 286,643	\$ 83,000	\$ 85,000	\$ 87,000	\$ 90,000
Plus: Net Cash Flow (After Rate Increases)	102,403	239,451	248,775	258,261	267,912	277,728
Plus: Transfer of Debt Reserve Surplus	-	-	-	-	-	-
Plus: Interest Earnings	14,200	2,866	830	850	870	900
Less: Transfer Out to Capital Replacement Reserve	(1,250,000)	(445,960)	(247,605)	(257,111)	(265,782)	(276,628)
<b>Ending Operating Reserve Balance</b>	<b>\$ 286,643</b>	<b>\$ 83,000</b>	<b>\$ 85,000</b>	<b>\$ 87,000</b>	<b>\$ 90,000</b>	<b>\$ 92,000</b>
<b>Target Ending Balance (90 days of O&amp;M)</b>	<b>\$ 80,000</b>	<b>\$ 83,000</b>	<b>\$ 85,000</b>	<b>\$ 87,000</b>	<b>\$ 90,000</b>	<b>\$ 92,000</b>
<b>Capital Rehabilitation &amp; Replacement Reserve</b>						
Beginning Reserve Balance	\$ 732,643	\$ 1,853,268	\$ 2,157,063	\$ 2,293,796	\$ 2,436,155	\$ 2,583,168
Plus: Grant Proceeds	-	-	-	-	-	-
Plus: Transfer of Operating Reserve Surplus	1,250,000	445,960	247,605	257,111	265,782	276,628
Less: Use of Reserves for Capital Projects	(129,375)	(142,165)	(110,872)	(114,752)	(118,769)	(122,926)
<b>Ending Capital Rehab &amp; Replacement Reserve Balance</b>	<b>\$ 1,853,268</b>	<b>\$ 2,157,063</b>	<b>\$ 2,293,796</b>	<b>\$ 2,436,155</b>	<b>\$ 2,583,168</b>	<b>\$ 2,736,871</b>
<b>Target Ending Balance (3% of net assets)</b>	<b>\$ 584,000</b>	<b>\$ 690,000</b>	<b>\$ 693,000</b>	<b>\$ 696,000</b>	<b>\$ 700,000</b>	<b>\$ 703,000</b>
<b>Ending Cash Balance - Excl. Restricted Reserves</b>	<b>\$ 2,139,911</b>	<b>\$ 2,240,063</b>	<b>\$ 2,378,796</b>	<b>\$ 2,523,155</b>	<b>\$ 2,673,168</b>	<b>\$ 2,828,871</b>
<b>Min. Target Ending Cash Balance -Excl. Restricted Reser</b>	<b>\$ 664,000</b>	<b>\$ 773,000</b>	<b>\$ 778,000</b>	<b>\$ 783,000</b>	<b>\$ 790,000</b>	<b>\$ 795,000</b>
<b>Ending Surplus/(Deficit) Compared to Reserve Targets</b>	<b>\$ 1,475,911</b>	<b>\$ 1,467,063</b>	<b>\$ 1,600,796</b>	<b>\$ 1,740,155</b>	<b>\$ 1,883,168</b>	<b>\$ 2,033,871</b>
<b>Days Cash on Hand</b>	<b>1,639</b>	<b>1,375</b>	<b>1,438</b>	<b>1,502</b>	<b>1,565</b>	<b>1,630</b>
<b>Annual Interest Earnings Rate<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>1.00%</b>	<b>1.00%</b>	<b>1.00%</b>	<b>1.00%</b>	<b>1.00%</b>	<b>1.00%</b>

1. Beginning cash balances are as of July 1, 2024.

2. Historical interest earning rates are per the average annual yields for funds invested in LAIF (2018-2024). The source is the California State Treasurer's website:  
<https://www.treasurer.ca.gov/pmia-laif/historical/annual.asp>.

TABLE 3 : RESERVE FUND SUMMARY - RESTRICTED RESERVES

SUMMARY OF CASH ACTIVITY, cont. RESTRICTED SEWER FUND RESERVES	Projected	Rate Period				
	FY 2026/27	FY 2027/28	FY 2028/29	FY 2029/30	FY 2030/31	FY 2031/32
<b>Restricted Reserves:</b>						
<b>Debt Reserve</b>						
Beginning Reserve Balance <sup>1</sup>	\$ -	\$ 264,289	\$ 266,932	\$ 269,601	\$ 272,297	\$ 275,020
Plus: Reserve Funding from Future Debt Obligations	264,289	-	-	-	-	-
Plus: Interest Earnings	-	2,643	2,669	2,696	2,723	2,750
Less: Transfer of Surplus to Operating Reserve	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Ending Debt Reserve Balance</b>	<b>\$ 264,289</b>	<b>\$ 266,932</b>	<b>\$ 269,601</b>	<b>\$ 272,297</b>	<b>\$ 275,020</b>	<b>\$ 277,771</b>
<b>Target Ending Balance</b>	<b>\$ 264,289</b>	<b>\$ 264,289</b>	<b>\$ 264,289</b>	<b>\$ 264,289</b>	<b>\$ 264,289</b>	<b>\$ 264,289</b>
<b>Annual Interest Earnings Rate<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>1.00%</b>	<b>1.00%</b>	<b>1.00%</b>	<b>1.00%</b>	<b>1.00%</b>	<b>1.00%</b>

1. Beginning cash balances are as of July 1, 2024.

2. Historical interest earning rates are per the average annual yields for funds invested in LAIF (2018-2024). The source is the California State Treasurer's website:  
<https://www.treasurer.ca.gov/pmia-laif/historical/annual.asp>.

TABLE 4 : REVENUE FORECAST<sup>1</sup>

DESCRIPTION	Basis	Projected	Rate Period				
		FY 2025/26	FY 2026/27	FY 2027/28	FY 2028/29	FY 2029/30	FY 2030/31
<b>Sewer Operating Revenue</b>							
40008015 PROP TAXES-CURR SEC 1% TAX LVY	1	\$ 45,000	\$ 45,000	\$ 45,000	\$ 45,000	\$ 45,000	\$ 45,000
40008025 PROP TX CUR UNSEC 1% GEN TAX	1	1,800	1,800	1,800	1,800	1,800	1,800
40008035 PROP TX CUR UNITARY 1% LEVY	1	6,500	6,500	6,500	6,500	6,500	6,500
40008115 PROP TX PRI SEC 1% GEN TAX LVY	1	65	65	65	65	65	65
40008125 PROP TX PRI UNSEC 1% GEN TAX	1	75	75	75	75	75	75
40008145 INT & PEN DELINQUENT TAXES	1	75	75	75	75	75	75
40008230 SUPP ROLL CURRENT	1	500	500	500	500	500	500
40008235 SUPP ROLL PRIOR	1	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200
<b>000-Taxes</b>		<b>\$ 55,215</b>	<b>\$ 55,215</b>	<b>\$ 55,215</b>	<b>\$ 55,215</b>	<b>\$ 55,215</b>	<b>\$ 55,215</b>
40308500 INTEREST	1	\$ 21,000	\$ 21,000	\$ 21,000	\$ 21,000	\$ 21,000	\$ 21,000
<b>030-Revenue From Use of Money &amp; Property</b>		<b>\$ 21,000</b>	<b>\$ 21,000</b>	<b>\$ 21,000</b>	<b>\$ 21,000</b>	<b>\$ 21,000</b>	<b>\$ 21,000</b>
40408800 GENERAL TAX LEVY HOMEOWNER EXM	1	\$ 275	\$ 275	\$ 275	\$ 275	\$ 275	\$ 275
<b>040-Intergovernment Revenue-State</b>		<b>\$ 275.00</b>	<b>\$ 275.00</b>	<b>\$ 275.00</b>	<b>\$ 275.00</b>	<b>\$ 275.00</b>	<b>\$ 275.00</b>
40758480 FEE ORD-PENALTIES	1	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
40759680 FEE ORD-PERMIT & INSPECTION FEES	1	9,200	-	-	-	-	-
40759700 FEE ORD-SANITATION SERVICES	1	490,000	490,000	490,000	490,000	490,000	490,000
40759720 FEE ORD-RESIDENTIAL SALES	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
40759800 FEE ORD-OTHER SERVICES	1	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000
40759970 FEE ORD-OTHER	1	100	100	100	100	100	100
<b>075-Charges for Current Services-Fee Ord</b>		<b>\$ 501,300</b>	<b>\$ 492,100</b>	<b>\$ 492,100</b>	<b>\$ 492,100</b>	<b>\$ 492,100</b>	<b>\$ 492,100</b>
40809970 OTHER	1	\$ 1,200	\$ 1,200	\$ 1,200	\$ 1,200	\$ 1,200	\$ 1,200
<b>080-Other Revenue</b>		<b>\$ 1,200</b>	<b>\$ 1,200</b>	<b>\$ 1,200</b>	<b>\$ 1,200</b>	<b>\$ 1,200</b>	<b>\$ 1,200</b>
<b>TOTAL: REVENUE</b>		<b>\$ 578,990</b>	<b>\$ 569,790</b>	<b>\$ 569,790</b>	<b>\$ 569,790</b>	<b>\$ 569,790</b>	<b>\$ 569,790</b>

TABLE 5 : REVENUE SUMMARY

DESCRIPTION	Basis	Projected	Rate Period				
		FY 2025/26	FY 2026/27	FY 2027/28	FY 2028/29	FY 2029/30	FY 2030/31
<b>Rate Revenue</b>							
000-Taxes		\$ 55,215	\$ 55,215	\$ 55,215	\$ 55,215	\$ 55,215	\$ 55,215
075-Charges for Current Services-Fee Ord		490,000	490,000	490,000	490,000	490,000	490,000
<b>Other Revenue</b>							
040-Intergovernment Revenue-State		\$ 275	\$ 275	\$ 275	\$ 275	\$ 275	\$ 275
030-Revenue From Use of Money & Property		21,000	21,000	21,000	21,000	21,000	21,000
080-Other Revenue		12,500	3,300	3,300	3,300	3,300	3,300
<b>TOTAL: REVENUE</b>		<b>\$ 578,990</b>	<b>\$ 569,790</b>	<b>\$ 569,790</b>	<b>\$ 569,790</b>	<b>\$ 569,790</b>	<b>\$ 569,790</b>

TABLE 6 : OPERATING EXPENSE FORECAST<sup>1</sup>

DESCRIPTION	Basis	Rate Period					
		Projected FY 2025/26	FY 2026/27	FY 2027/28	FY 2028/29	FY 2029/30	FY 2030/31
<b>Operating Expenses</b>							
<i>Operating Expenses</i>							
52002065 EXPENDITURES - REIMBURSED	2	\$ 181	\$ 186	\$ 192	\$ 198	\$ 205	\$ 211
52002070 FOOD	2	155	160	165	170	176	181
52002085 LEGAL NOTICES	2	41	43	44	45	47	48
52002135 SPECIAL DEPT EXPENSE	2	516	533	550	567	585	604
52002180 UTILITIES	2	619	639	659	681	702	725
52002186 UTILITIES-WATER	2	3,612	3,728	3,847	3,970	4,097	4,228
52002210 PROPERTY INSURANCE (ISF ONLY)	2	6	6	7	7	7	7
52002310 PRESORT & PACKAGING (ISF ONLY)	2	7,224	7,455	7,694	7,940	8,194	8,456
52002415 COUNTY SERVICES (INCL COWCAP )	2	2,401	2,478	2,558	2,639	2,724	2,811
52002445 OTHER PROFESSIONAL & SPEC SVCS	2	103,200	106,502	109,910	113,428	117,057	120,803
52002448 COUNTY COUNSEL SERVICES	3	2,500	2,500	2,500	2,500	2,500	2,500
52002458 PERMIT COSTS	2	48,504	50,056	51,658	53,311	55,017	56,777
52002870 GEN MAINT-STRUCT,IMP & GROUNDS	2	15,480	15,975	16,487	17,014	17,559	18,120
<b>200-Services &amp; Supplies-General</b>		<b>\$ 184,440</b>	<b>\$ 190,262</b>	<b>\$ 196,270</b>	<b>\$ 202,471</b>	<b>\$ 208,870</b>	<b>\$ 215,474</b>
52942942 HOTEL - NON-TAXABLE	2	\$ 3,096	\$ 3,195	\$ 3,297	\$ 3,403	\$ 3,512	\$ 3,624
52942943 MEALS - NON-TAXABLE	2	1,032	1,065	1,099	1,134	1,171	1,208
<b>294-Services &amp; Supplies-Travel Related</b>		<b>\$ 4,128</b>	<b>\$ 4,260</b>	<b>\$ 4,396</b>	<b>\$ 4,537</b>	<b>\$ 4,682</b>	<b>\$ 4,832</b>
55405010 SALARIES & BENE TRANFERS OUT	3	\$ 37,000	\$ 37,000	\$ 37,000	\$ 37,000	\$ 37,000	\$ 37,000
55405012 SERVS & SUPPLY TRANFERS OUT	2	16,512	17,040	17,586	18,148	18,729	19,329
55405018 INTERNAL COST ALLOCA OUT	2	79,258	81,794	84,411	87,112	89,900	92,777
<b>540-Intra Entity Reimbursement Out</b>		<b>\$ 132,770</b>	<b>\$ 135,834</b>	<b>\$ 138,997</b>	<b>\$ 142,261</b>	<b>\$ 145,629</b>	<b>\$ 149,105</b>
<b>Subtotal - Operating Expenses</b>		<b>\$ 321,337</b>	<b>\$ 330,356</b>	<b>\$ 339,663</b>	<b>\$ 349,269</b>	<b>\$ 359,181</b>	<b>\$ 369,411</b>
<b>GRAND TOTAL: SEWER EXPENSES</b>		<b>\$ 321,337</b>	<b>\$ 330,356</b>	<b>\$ 339,663</b>	<b>\$ 349,269</b>	<b>\$ 359,181</b>	<b>\$ 369,411</b>

1. Revenue and expenses for FY 2024/25 provided by the District. Revenues and expenses for all other years are escalated based on the forecasting assumptions in Table 7

TABLE 7 : FORECASTING ASSUMPTIONS

INFLATION FACTORS <sup>1</sup>	Basis	FY 2025/26	FY 2026/27	FY 2027/28	FY 2028/29	FY 2029/30	FY 2030/31
Customer Growth <sup>2</sup>	1	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
General Cost Inflation <sup>3</sup>	2	3.20%	3.20%	3.20%	3.20%	3.20%	3.20%
Labor Cost Inflation <sup>4</sup>	3	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Interest on Investments <sup>5</sup>	4	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Electricity <sup>6</sup>	5	2.62%	2.62%	2.62%	2.62%	2.62%	2.62%
No Escalation	6	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%

- Expenses are inflated each year by the following annual inflation factor categories.
- Customer growth is based on the population projections provided by the County.
- General cost inflation is based on the 5-year average annual change in the Consumer Price Index for all Urban Consumers in the San Bernardino-Riverside-Ontario, CA area.
- Labor cost inflation is provided by County.
- Interest rate inflation provided by the County.
- Electricity cost inflation is based on the 5-year average change in the Consumer Price Index for Electricity for the San Bernardino-Riverside-Ontario, CA area.

TABLE 8 : CAPITAL FUNDING SUMMARY

CAPITAL FUNDING FORECAST	Projected		5-Year Projected Rate Period			
	FY 2025/26	FY 2026/27	FY 2027/28	FY 2028/29	FY 2029/30	FY 2030/31
<b>Funding Sources:</b>						
Grants	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Use of Capacity Fee Reserves	-	-	-	-	-	-
SRF Loan Funding	-	-	-	-	-	-
Use of Future Revenue Bond Proceeds	-	3,500,000	-	-	-	-
Use of Capital Rehabilitation and Replacement Reserve	129,375	142,165	110,872	114,752	118,769	122,926
Rate Revenue	155,250	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Total Sources of Capital Funds</b>	<b>\$ 284,625</b>	<b>\$ 3,642,165</b>	<b>\$ 110,872</b>	<b>\$ 114,752</b>	<b>\$ 118,769</b>	<b>\$ 122,926</b>
<b>Uses of Capital Funds:</b>						
Total Project Costs	\$ 284,625	\$ 3,642,165	\$ 110,872	\$ 114,752	\$ 118,769	\$ 122,926
<b>Capital Funding Surplus (Deficiency)</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>
<i>SFR Loan Funding</i>	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
<i>Future Revenue Bond Proceeds</i>	\$ -	\$ 3,500,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM FUNDING OPTIONS		Total Planned CIP - FY 2026/27 through FY 2030/31				\$ 4,109,483	
Policy Choice		FY 2025/26	FY 2026/27	FY 2027/28	FY 2028/29	FY 2029/30	FY 2030/31
1	Alternative 1 - Full Funding of CIP	\$ 284,625	\$ 3,642,165	\$ 110,872	\$ 114,752	\$ 118,769	\$ 122,926
2	Alternative 2 - 75% Funding of CIP	213,469	2,731,624	83,154	86,064	89,076	92,194
3	Alternative 3 - 0% Funding of CIP	-	-	-	-	-	-

<b>1</b>	← <b>Select CIP Funding Option</b>
----------	------------------------------------

Capital Improvement Program Funding Choice	FY 2025/26	FY 2026/27	FY 2027/28	FY 2028/29	FY 2029/30	FY 2030/31
Effective Annual Funding Amount	\$ 284,625	\$ 3,642,165	\$ 110,872	\$ 114,752	\$ 118,769	\$ 122,926

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

TABLE 9 : CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM COSTS

Project Description	FY 2025/26	FY 2026/27	FY 2027/28	FY 2028/29	FY 2029/30	FY 2030/31
<b>Capital Projects<sup>1</sup></b>						
North Pioneer Point Septic Tank No. 2	\$ 275,000					
CSA 82 Searles Valley Sewer Line Replacement Phase 2 Master Plan		3,250,000 150,000				
<b>Subtotal - Capital Projects</b>	<b>\$ 275,000</b>	<b>\$ 3,400,000</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>
<b>Estimated Future Projects</b>						
Future Projects <sup>4</sup>	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000
<b>Total: Capital Improvement Program Costs (Current-Year Dollars)</b>	<b>\$ 275,000</b>	<b>\$ 3,400,000</b>	<b>\$ 100,000</b>	<b>\$ 100,000</b>	<b>\$ 100,000</b>	<b>\$ 100,000</b>

TABLE 10 : CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM COSTS

Project Description	FY 2025/26	FY 2026/27	FY 2027/28	FY 2028/29	FY 2029/30	FY 2030/31
<b>Capital Projects<sup>1</sup></b>						
North Pioneer Point Septic Tank No. 2	\$ 284,625	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
CSA 82 Searles Valley Sewer Line Replacement Phase 2 Master Plan	-	3,481,481 160,684	-	-	-	-
Future Projects <sup>4</sup>	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 110,872	\$ 114,752	\$ 118,769	\$ 122,926
<b>Total: Capital Improvement Program Costs (Future-Year Dollars)</b>	<b>\$ 284,625</b>	<b>\$ 3,642,165</b>	<b>\$ 110,872</b>	<b>\$ 114,752</b>	<b>\$ 118,769</b>	<b>\$ 122,926</b>

TABLE 11 : FORECASTING ASSUMPTIONS

Economic Variables	FY 2025/26	FY 2026/27	FY 2027/28	FY 2028/29	FY 2029/30	FY 2030/31
Annual Construction Cost Inflation, Per Engineering News Record <sup>6</sup>	3.50%	3.50%	3.50%	3.50%	3.50%	3.50%
Cumulative Construction Cost Multiplier from FY 2024/25	1.04	1.07	1.11	1.15	1.19	1.23

1. Source file: 2023-24 Preliminary Budget Worksheet.xlsx.

4. Estimated future expenditures are the average of the previous 10 years.

5. Capital improvement projects are inflated to future year estimated costs with ENR CCI for the region. Source: Engineering News Record website (<http://enr.construction.com>).

6. For reference purposes, the annual Construction Cost Inflation percentage is the 10-year average annual change in the Construction Cost Index for 2013-2023 (3.5%).

**TABLE 12 : EXISTING DEBT OBLIGATIONS**

EXISTING DEBT OBLIGATIONS	Budget	Projected	5-Year Projected Rate Period				
	FY 2024/25	FY 2025/26	FY 2026/27	FY 2027/28	FY 2028/29	FY 2029/30	FY 2030/31
Annual Repayment Schedules:							
<i>N/A</i>							
Principal Payment							
Interest Payment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Subtotal: Annual Debt Service</b>	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Coverage Requirement (\$-Amnt above annual payment)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Reserve Requirement (total fund balance)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
<b>Grand Total: Existing Annual Debt Service</b>	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
<b>Grand Total: Existing Annual Coverage Requirement</b>	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
<b>Grand Total: Existing Debt Reserve Target</b>	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

1. Source file: *SWRCB Complete Loan Agreement.pdf*

**TABLE 13 : EXISTING ANNUAL DEBT OBLIGATIONS TO BE SATISFIED BY SEWER RATES**

Annual Obligations	FY 2024/25	FY 2025/26	FY 2026/27	FY 2027/28	FY 2028/29	FY 2029/30	FY 2030/31
<i>Existing Annual Debt Service</i>	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
<i>Existing Annual Coverage Requirement</i>	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
<i>Existing Debt Reserve Target</i>	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

TABLE 14 : CURRENT SEWER RATE SCHEDULE

Sewer Rate Schedule <sup>1</sup>	Current Rates
<b>Monthly Fixed Service Charge Per EDU</b>	
All Customers	Per Dwelling Unit
All Customers	\$83.12

TABLE 15 : PROPOSED SEWER RATES

<b>NET REVENUE REQUIREMENTS (100% FIXED / 0% VARIABLE)</b>				
<b>Customer Class</b>	<b>Number of EDUs</b>	<b>Net Revenue Requirement</b>	<b>Estimated Fixed Revenue</b>	<b>Monthly Fixed Charge Per EDU</b>
All Customers	738	\$ 754,306	\$ 754,306	\$ 85.17
<b>Total</b>	<b>738</b>	<b>\$ 754,306</b>	<b>\$ 754,306</b>	

TABLE 16 : CURRENT VS. PROPOSED SEWER RATES

Sewer Rate Schedule		NET REVENUE REQUIREMENTS (100% FIXED / 0% VARIABLE)					
		Current Rates	Proposed Sewer Rates				
			FY 2026/27	FY 2027/28	FY 2028/29	FY 2029/30	FY 2030/31
<b>Monthly Fixed Service Charge Per EDU</b>							
<u>All Customers</u>		<u>Per Equivalent Dwelling Unit</u>					
All Customers	\$83.12	\$85.17	\$87.28	\$89.43	\$91.64	\$93.91	